

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.
8425 SEASONS PARKWAY, SUITE 105
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55125
TEL 651.735-1100
FAX 651.735-1102
WWW.SSIPLAW.COM

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 28 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	Examiner Choi	FROM:	Kelly Patrick Fitzgerald
COMPANY:	U.S. Patent & Trademark Office	DATE:	MARCH 28, 2005
FAX NUMBER:	703/872-9306	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	3
PHONE NUMBER:	571/272-2367	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:	56893US002 (1004-022US01)
RE:	Interview Summary	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:	09/918,262

☐ URGENT ☒ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY

NOTES/COMMENTS:

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 28 2005

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Stephen M. Randall	Confirmation No.	2264
Serial No.:	09/918,262		
Filed:	July 30, 2001	Customer No.:	32692
Examiner:	Jacob Y. Choi		
Group Art Unit:	2875		
Docket No.:	56893US002 (1004-022US01)		
Title:	ILLUMINATING DEVICE UTILIZING DISPLACED RADIATION PATTERNS		

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant thanks Examiner Jacob Choi for conducting the telephonic Examiner Interview of March 28, 2005. Examiner Jacob Choi and Applicant's representative, Kelly Patrick Fitzgerald (Reg. No. 46,326), were involved in the telephonic Examiner Interview. Mr. Choi and Mr. Fitzgerald discussed the pending claims. No exhibits were introduced or discussed. The prior art was not discussed.

Mr. Fitzgerald noted that all pending claims should be in condition for allowance, per the Examiner's remarks in the previous Office Action. The Examiner agreed since claims 35-38 were now canceled. The Examiner indicated that claims 1-26 and 28-33 will be allowed.

Mr. Fitzgerald also argued that any objections to the drawings should be withdrawn.

In the final Office Action, the Examiner had objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a). In the final Office Action, the Examiner stated that the drawings fail to show first and second light guides posited to be illuminated by the light emitting diode, wherein the first light guide provides directional side lighting in a first direction and the second light guide provides directional side lighting in a second direction.

Application Number 09/918,262
Interview Summary

During the Examiner Interview, Mr. Fitzgerald noted that the feature in question was recited in the canceled claims 35-38, but also appears generally in dependent claims 2, 8, 10, 15, 23, 25, 29 and 31.


Mr. Fitzgerald noted that FIGS. 1 & 2 illustrate light guides 14 and 16, which may provide directional side lighting in unique directions. Mr. Fitzgerald further noted that FIGS. 9 and 10 also illustrates light guides 14 and 16, and describe such light guides as providing directional side lighting in unique directions. Thus, Mr. Fitzgerald argued that this feature is clearly shown in the drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.83(a), particularly in the context of the accompanying specification and the specific description of light guides 14 and 16.

The Examiner agreed with Mr. Fitzgerald with respect to the drawings, and indicated that the objections to the drawings would be withdrawn. The Examiner indicated that a notice of allowance would be forthcoming. The Examiner sent Mr. Fitzgerald an unsigned copy of the notice of allowance and reasons for allowance. The Examiner indicated that these materials may appear slightly different after review and signature by the Primary Examiner.

Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to deposit account number 50-1778. The Examiner is invited to telephone the below-signed attorney to discuss this application.

Date:
March 28, 2005
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.
8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105
St. Paul, Minnesota 55125
Telephone: 651.735.1100
Facsimile: 651.735.1102

By:


Name: Kelly Patrick Fitzgerald
Reg. No.: 46,326